

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/071,082	02/11/2002	Arie Besemer	000515-238 - 1948		
7590 06/24/2005		EXAMINER			
Ronald L. Grudziecki BURNS, DOANE,			ANDERSON, CATHARINE L		
SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 1404			3761		
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	SP	
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/071,082	BESEMER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
C. Lynne Anderson	3761	

before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	C. Lynne Anderson	3761						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>03 June 2005</u> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);						
appeal; and/or		3 ()	,					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	, .,	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling					
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 10-13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment of changing 'water and/or an acid' to 'at least one of water and an acid' does not place the claim in condition for allowance. Further, the proposed amendment does not reduce or simplify the issues for appeal, since 'at least one of allows for one or the other of water or an acid to be present, thus essentially stating the same thing asn 'and/or' did.

> Larry I. Schwartz **Supervisory Patent Examiner**

La Shwat